FACT SHEET FOR PARTNERSHIP FIELD VALIDATION TEST

Midwest Regional Carbon Sequestration Partnership (MRCSP)

NETL Cooperative Agreement DE-FC26-05NT42589

DOE/NETL Project Manager: Lynn Brickett, Lynn.Brickett@NETL.DOE.GOV

Submitted by Battelle

December 2007



Terrestrial Sequestration Field Test: Croplands

Test Locations:

First location in 2006:

Thirteen cropland plots over several of the seven states in the MRCSP region, primarily Ohio, Indiana, and Michigan

Second Location in 2007:

Eleven paired cropland fields across Ohio, Kentucky, and Pennsylvania.

Amount and Source of CO₂: Not applicable due to this being a terrestrial field test to determine and validate rates of sequestration using different agricultural methods.

Field Test Partners: The Ohio State University

Ohio Corn Marketing Program

Ohio Soybean Council

Summary of Field Test Site and Operations:

Thirteen sites in 2006 from twelve Major Land Resource Areas (MLRA's) and 11 sites in 2007 from 11 MLRA's of the MRCSP region have been selected to collect soil samples from three predominant land uses, namely till, no till and woodlot. Soil samples were collected from five different depths 0-5 cm, 5-10 cm, 10-30 cm, 30-50 cm, and >50 cm in four replications 100 m apart. These samples were analyzed for total carbon (C), nitrogen concentrations (N) and soil physical quality (e.g., structural stability, plant available water, texture, shrinkage, soil compaction, soil hydraulic properties, soil aggregate properties). Three sites based on different parent material: Glacial Till (Coshocton), Till

1

plain (Delaware), and Glacial Lake Plain (Henry county) located in Ohio, were selected to assess the historic carbon loss by cultivation. An additional 144 soil samples and 24 plant residue samples were also collected for measuring additional soil physical properties. Geospatial analysis and pedometrics are being conducted with the aim to predict soil carbon stock in relation to readily available data, such as land use (woodlot, no till, and conventional till), digital elevation model, soil reflectance, and weather data (temperature and precipitation) for the whole MRCSP region.

Research Objectives:

- Demonstrate terrestrial carbon sink capacity for predominant land use systems.
- Develop a credible measuring and monitoring protocol to evaluate carbon (C) sink capacity in biota and soil.
- Assess the cost of C sequestration with regards to management and input, and compare economic efficiency of different systems.
- Determine how C sequestration from MRCSP activities could be incorporated into existing schemes of trading C credits.
- Assess mechanisms of C sequestration with regards to land use.
- Assess the soil-profile C distribution to determine C storage within the topsoil and subsoil.
- Evaluate historic C loss and assess the old vs. new C in soil.
- Establish relationships between soil C and soil physical quality.

Summary of Modeling and MMV Efforts:

Measurement Technique	Measurement	Application	Reference
	Parameters		
Dry combustion method	Total Organic	Measure soil organic	Nelson and
(900°C) - CN analyzer (Vario	Carbon (%) and	carbon and nitrogen	Sommers (1996)
Max, Elementar Americas)	Total Nitrogen (%)	content in all samples.	
Dry combustion and isotopic	Total carbon (%)	Measure soil carbon and	Boutton (1991)
separation	and δ^{13} C	δ^{13} C as well as plan residue	and O'Leary
		carbon and δ^{13} C	(1993)
Core method	Bulk density	Determine the density of	Grossman and
		the bulk soil	Reinsch (2002)
Static hand cone penetrometer	Cone index	Determine the resistance of	Lowery and
(Eijkelkamp, Giesbeek, The		the soil to cone penetration	Morrison (2002)
Netherlands)		as a means to evaluate soil	
		compaction	
Shear vane tester (ELE	Shear strength	Measure the intrinsic	Lowery and
International, Inc. Lake Bluff,	_	property of the soil to shear	Morrison (2002)
ILL)		during tillage	
Crushing method	Tensile strength of	Determine the tensile	Dexter and Watts
-	aggregates	strength of individual soil	(2001)
	-	(5-8 mm, 2-5 mm, and <2	
		mm diameter) aggregates	

Clod method	Aggregate density	Determine the density of	Grossman and
		discrete (5-8 and 2-5 mm	Reinsch (2002)
		diameter) aggregates	
Tension table and pressure	Moisture retention	Determine the capacity of	Dane and
plate apparatus	of aggregates	aggregates (5-8 mm, 2-5	Hopmans (2002)
1 11	66 6	mm, and <2 mm diameter)	1 ,
		to retain water	
Wet-sieving method	Aggregate stability	Quantify the percentage	Nimmo and
J	26 6 7	and mean weight diameter	Perkins (2002)
		of water-stable aggregates	
Hydrometer method	Soil texture	Determine the particle size	Gee and Or
•		distribution	(2002)
Remolded soil clods	Coefficient of	Determine the shrink-and-	Schafer and
	linear extensibility	swell potential of soil	Singer (1976)
Water-drop penetration test	Sub-critical water	Assess the rate of water	Letey (1969)
and sorptivity test	repellency	entry into the soil	And Leed-
1 3	1 ,	,	Harrison et al.
			(1994)

Accomplishments to Date:




Figure 1. shows examples of measurements of soil organic carbon taken from three of the 13 MLRAs being tested in the croplands study. This figure shows that no-till generally stores more carbon in the top soil than conventional till. The magnitude of the beneficial impacts of no-till on carbon storage depends on soil type and management.

• A total of 1442 georeferenced carbon data points from MRCSP region are being used in the analysis.

- Digital Elevation Model (DEM) of 30 m pixel resolution for the study area has been prepared using the seamless data of USGS to gather the different data layers. Thirty years average annual temperature and precipitation data have been collected for Ohio and Indiana.
- Likewise, a land use map for the entire MRCSP region has also been collected from the USGS data base.
- A meeting with farmers involved in the MRCSP project was held in Columbus, OH on February 17, 2007. Issues in relation to global climate change, C sequestration in croplands, geologic C sequestration, and work that is being done by DOE were discussed.

Target Sink Storage Opportunities and Benefits to the Region:

- There is an estimated 10.7 million hectares of prime non-eroded cropland in the MRCSP region. It is estimated that 22.5% or that land area is already practicing no till and will likely remain in that mode. The remaining 77.5% or 8.3 million hectares is potentially amenable to adopting no till or reduced tillage practices, which, if adopted on these lands, would result in an estimated 55 to 74 million additional tons of carbon sequestered over a 20 year period for the MRCSP region. This is equivalent to 200 to 270 million metric tons of CO₂.
- Conversion of cropland to no till and reduced tillage practices also yields benefits of placing land use in more sustainable agricultural practice.
- When carbon markets become more fully developed, the stored carbon may be sold as a carbon dioxide offset, which will earn additional income for landowners. This Phase II research will lay valuable groundwork for helping to quantify the amount of carbon stored in soils typical of the MRCSP region.

Cost*:

Total Project Cost: \$23,745,399

DOE Share: \$17,458,272 (73.52%)

Non-Doe Share: \$6,287,127 (26.48%)

(*) Costs are for overall MRCSP Phase II project